



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,201	02/05/2001	Timothy M. Schmidl	TI-31285	3034

7590 08/06/2004

RONALD O. NEERINGS
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INC.
P.O. BOX 655474, M/S 3999
DALLAS, TX 75263

EXAMINER

VINCENT, DAVID ROBERT

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2661

8

DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/777,201

Applicant(s)

SCHMIDL ET AL.

Examiner

David R Vincent

Art Unit

2661

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28, 35-42 and 46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 29-34 and 43-45 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

Art Unit: 2661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-5, 9-26, 27, 37, 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weddle (US 5,359,595).

Weddle discloses selecting frequency bands (col. 1, lines 31-64; col. 2; col. 4, lines 20-25) between at least two transceivers (col. 3, lines 3-10), using probe packets (cols 4-6, especially col. 4, line 34-col. 5, line 34; col. 8, lines 54-67), generating and transmitting and/or receiving quality data (cols. 1-6, especially col. 1, lines 31-64; col. 2, lines 15-22; or col. 4, lines 54-63; col. 11, lines 6-22), plurality of probe frequencies (packets being sent at various frequencies and analyzed, e.g., col. 11, lines 6-67), frequencies are distributed evenly across a bandwidth (e.g., col. 11, lines 28-46), frequencies relate to number of probe packets and using a sequence (using a packet for each frequency, e.g., col. 11, lines 36-67; or col. 7, lines 48-57; frequency index, col. 4 lines 34-63), determining offset (reads on knowing what frequency in band, col. 11, lines 28-35 radios do not use a

Art Unit: 2661

large plurality of crystals/oscillators they simply use offsets by using e.g., divider circuits), correlation information (not further defined, reads on using CRC process, e.g., col. 12, line 11-col 13, line 9), packets include data indicative of band (col. 8, lines 54-67; col. 4, line 34-col. 5, line 56; col. 2, lines 1-9), transmitting selection packets (Fig. 4-9, e.g., announcing, Fig. 4), sequence of frequencies is a portion of sequence of probe frequencies (e.g., col. 11, lines 28-46; col. 7, lines 48-57; frequency index, col. 4 lines 34-63), an input (e.g., antenna or circuitry leading to controllers in transceivers, Fig. 8), using a mapper (using index, library or sequence, e.g., col. 11, lines 28-46; col. 7, lines 48-57; frequency index, col. 4 lines 34-63), controller (100, 104, Fig. 8 or col. 11, lines 42-46), first period, second period (Figs. 4-7), define periods (using a specific protocol such as TDMA the units setup communications with each other, col. 3, lines 44-67; col. 4, line 34-col. 5, line 19), communicating rate higher than transmitting rate (54, 56, 58, Fig. 3), fading parameters produce quality data (propagation effects, col. 6, lines 1-14; adjusting rates based on S/N, col. 10, lines 24-67; propagation characteristics of environment, col. 10, lines 53-59; col. 16, lines 20-36), and a probe packet can be a normal packet (Fig. 3; col. 4, line 67-col. 5, line 2).

Art Unit: 2661

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weddle, as set forth above.

However, Weddle fails to particularly call for the details of using a pseudo random sequence.

Since Weddle discloses using various combinations of sequences (col. 11, lines 36-67; or col. 7, lines 48-57; frequency index, col. 4 lines 34-63; col. 16, lines 37-68), it would have been obvious to use a pseudo random sequence.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 28, 35-36, 38, 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weddle, as set forth above, in view of Mahany (US 2004/0077353).

However, Although Weddle discloses adjusting rates based on S/N, (col. 10, lines 24-67), Weddle fails to particularly call for the details of fading and using various modulation and coding schemes.

Art Unit: 2661

As shown in Figs. 1B, 10-14, 21, 22A-25, 26A-27, Mahany teaches using a polling packet (section 94), selecting frequencies (section 12), optimizing communications based on noise (sections 22 and 23), details of fading (sections 86, 88-91, 96), using various modulation (sections 10, 156, 167-178, 187-188; Table 1, pg. 14) and coding schemes/rates (section 96; Table 1, pg. 14), other modes of operation (section 178).

It would have been obvious to further define the propagation characteristics of the environment (col. 6, lines 1-14; col. 10, lines 53-59; col. 16, lines 20-36) as fading details and to include how when the fading is more severe, the S/R and received power levels go down. Doing merely makes it clear that one is accounting for a traveling transceiver/mobile. Since e.g., Weddle discloses adjusting rates based on (S/N, col. 10, lines 24-67) it would have been obvious that by adding various forms of modulation and coding, Weddle can achieve even more robust communications.

6. Claims 29-34, 43-45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David R

Art Unit: 2661

Vincent whose telephone number is 703 305 4957. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Olms can be reached on 703 305 4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

 8/4/04
David R Vincent
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2661

August 4, 2004